

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 ABEYOMI ELMOOR BEY,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 DANIEL T. SATTERBERG,

12 Defendant.

Case No. C18-33-RAJ


ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION
TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*
AND RECOMMENDATION FOR
REVIEW

13
14 Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, has filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*
15 (“IFP”) in the above-entitled action. Dkt. 1. After careful consideration of the application, the
16 governing law and the balance of the record, the Court ORDERS as follows:

17 (1) Because plaintiff does not appear to have funds available to afford the \$400.00
18 filing fee, she financially qualifies for IFP status pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1).
19 Therefore, plaintiff’s IFP application, Dkt. 1, is GRANTED. However, the undersigned
20 recommends review under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

21 (2) The Clerk of the Court is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and to
22 the Honorable Ricard A. Jones.

23 DATED this 12th day of January, 2018.

24 

25 JAMES P. DONOHUE
26 Chief United States Magistrate Judge